Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020025-2
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security responsible for conducting liaison with all DDO area divisions and the DDO Technical Requirements Officer in establishing and validating
priorities.
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a) 1 COMPONENT:OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/OB
EVALUATION: DATE: 24 Man. 75
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes NoX If so, where and why?
11 SO, Where and way
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes NoX If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes NoX If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2	000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020025-2
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: 25X1A	The Office of Security component responsible for scheduling an effective program which best serves the consumer and provides the optimum utilization of available manpower.
	•
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a)	2 COMPONENT:OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/OB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference	(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) s Who is (are) the beneficiary	till in force? Yes X No y(ies) of this activity? George (DDO)
	still necessary? Yes X No Yes X No feel it is still necessary? Yes X No re efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
	and the control of th
e a la sacomoncaba	lity for the activity should be transferred r to another Office? Yes No_K_
Can another component do th	No X If so, which component and why?
More property.	
Does the activity include a Watergate? Yes No	nything questionable in the light of If so, what?
Can this activity be reduce significant effect on ove If so, how?	ed in scope/size or discontinued without erall Agency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not a important than this one?	now being pursued by OS that you feel is more Yes No_X If so, what?

•	
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a) 3 COM	IPONENT:OS/PTOS/Technical Security
EVALUATION:	Division/OB DATE:
The second contract of	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this	activity? Date(s)?
indu 25 (d25) bas bode entre (a)	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of the	nis activity?
az	vey (DDO)
Do you feel the activity is still necess Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is Can the activity be done more efficient If so, how?	sary? Yes X No No No No
Do you feel that responsibility for the to another OS component or to another If so, where and why?	e activity should be transferred office? Yes No X
Can another component do the activity of More properly? Yes No If	nore efficiently? Less costly?
Does the activity include anything ques Watergate? Yes No X If so,	stionable in the light of what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/significant effect on overall Agency If so, how?	size or discontinued without security? Yes NoX_
Is there any activity not now being purimportant than this one? Yes No	rsued by OS that you feel is more

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 · CIA-RDP83B00823B000700020025-2
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

			23X I A ·	•	•	• .
Approve	d Fo	r Release	200000000	DDD00D0000	2D000700	1020025 2
IDENTIFICATION	OF A	ACTIVITY:				

COMPONENT:OS/PTOS/Technical Security ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a) 4 Division/OB DATE: **EVALUATION:** What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes___ If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No__X_ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes___ No____ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No Y If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____

If so, how?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020025-2 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible
for procuring and maintaining specialized equipment and catalogs in the field for the use and information of foreign governments approved for liaison with the Agency.
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a) 5 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security
EVALUATION: Division/OB DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No No is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
agrey
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?
en kan disembalan di kanan di sebagai kan di kebana di kakaman kan kan kan kebana kan di kanan di kebana di ka Kanan di kemanggalan di kemanan di sebagai di kemanan kemanan di kemanan kemanan kemanan kemanan di kemanan di
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes NoX If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes NoX If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:	2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020025-2 The Office of Security component responsible for briefing and training approved foreign
25X1A	government intelligence service personnel in techniques at the request of DDO components.
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a)	6 COMPONENT:OS/PTOS/Technical Security
EVALUATION:	Division/OB <u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference((s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) st Who is (are) the beneficiary	(ies) of this activity?
	aging of DD g
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies)	still necessary? Yes X No No See efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibil to another OS component or If so, where and why?	ity for the activity should be transferred to another Office? Yes NoK_
Can another component do the More properly? Yes N	e activity more efficiently? Less costly? To Y If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include an Watergate? Yes No_X	ything questionable in the light of If so, what?
	in scope/size or discontinued without all Agency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not no important than this one?	w being pursued by OS that you feel is more Yes No X If so, what?

22	5X1C 00/09/02 - CIA_PDP83B00823B000700020025_2
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:	
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a) 7	COMPONENT:OS/PTOS/Technical Security
EVALUATION:	Division/OB DATE:
	25X1A
What is (are) our reference(s)	for this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) stil Who is (are) the beneficiary(i	ll in force? Yes Y No
	agency of DDO
Do you feel the activity is st Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) fe Can the activity be done more If so, how?	cill necessary? Yes X No eel it is still necessary? Yes X No efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibilit to another OS component or t If so, where and why?	y for the activity should be transferred to another Office? Yes No X
Can another component do the a More properly? Yes No_	ctivity more efficiently? Less costly? X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anyt Watergate? Yes No X	hing questionable in the light of If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overal If so, how?	n scope/size or discontinued without l Agency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now important than this one? Yes	being pursued by OS that you feel is more s No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:	0/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823 The Office of Security	component responsible
25X1A	for providing advice an facilities technical security matt cables and dispatches.	overseas on
	cubics and dispatement.	
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a) 8	COMPONENT: OS/PTO	S/Technical Security
EVALUATION:	25X1A	Division/OB DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s)) for this activity? I	ate(s)?
mat is (alo) our reserve (s)	, ====	
		No
Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?	NO
α	grey & DDO	
Do you feel the activity is st Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) for Can the activity be done more If so, how?	till necessary? Yes > eel it is still necessary efficiently or less co	Yes No No No Yes No No Yes
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or If so, where and why?	ty for the activity sho to another Office? Yes	ould be transferred
Can another component do the More properly? Yes No	activity more efficient X If so, which comp	cly? Less costly?
Does the activity include any Watergate? Yes NoX	thing questionable in t If so, what?	the light of
	•	
Can this activity be reduced significant effect on overa If so, how?	in scope/size or discor 11 Agency security? Yo	ntinued without esNo_X
Is there any activity not now important than this one? Y	being pursued by OS thes No If so, w	hat you feel is more what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020025-2 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for maintaining continuing liaison with other government agencies and selected	9
representatives of commercial industry on matters and equipment.	
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a) 9 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security	v
EVALUATION: 25X1A Division/OB DATE:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes \ No No No	
agerey	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?	\
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why?	•
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes NoX If so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_X If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? YesNoX_ If so, what?	:

Approved For Release IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:	2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020025-2 The Office of Security component responsible
	for developing Agency Technical Security
	policy regarding the use of various types of telephone systems, music systems, type-
	writers, intercoms and other office equipment
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a)	10 COMPONENT:OS/PTOS/Technical Security
EVALUATION:	Division/OB DATE:
	A PAIL.
What is (are) our reference	(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) so Who is (are) the beneficiary	till in force? Yes Y No y(ies) of this activity?
	agrey
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies)	still necessary? Yes X No feel it is still necessary? Yes X No re efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
to another OS component of	lity for the activity should be transferred r to another Office? Yes No
If so, where and why?	
Can another component do the More properly? Yes N	e activity more efficiently? Less costly? No X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include an Watergate? Yes No_X	ything questionable in the light of If so, what?
•	
Can this activity be reduced significant effect on over If so, how?	l in scope/size or discontinued without rall Agency security? Yes No_X
s there any activity not no	by haing nurgued by OC that were Continue
important than this one?	by being pursued by OS that you feel is more Yes No_ X If so, what?

25Χ1Δ	
Approved For Release 2000/09	02 - CIA PDP23P00232P000700030025-2
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:	
•	
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a) 11	COMPONENT:OS/PTOS/Technical Security
EVALUATION:	Division/OB
	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in	force? Yes x No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?
	DDO
Do you feel the activity is still	nococcomy? Vac V
Do(es) the beneficiary(les) feel i	t is still necessary? Yes x No
Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	ciently or less costly? YesNo
ng tinang ng pagalagan ng Kabalagan ng Kabal	
to another OS component or to an	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No X
If so, where and why?	sener office: les No
Can another component do the active	ity mana afficiently 1
More properly? Yes No X	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include coulding	
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If s	questionable in the light of so, what?
Com Alice and the A	
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No (
If so, how?	
Υ	
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so what?
	1 200 111111111111111111111111111111111

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a) 14 COMPONENT:OS/PTOS/Technical Secur	ity
EVALUATION: DATE:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?	-
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No No(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?	<u>.</u>
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferr to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No_X If so, where and why?	ed
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly More properly? Yes NoX If so, which component and why?	?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes NoX If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is minimportant than this one? Yes No X If so, what?	iore

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 · CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020025-2
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:
25X1A

CAT A

Approved For Pologee 20	000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020025-2
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:	The Office of Security component responsible for providing escort to maintenance personnel
•	The DCI complex and inspection
	of the areas of interest following such work.
	Of the around a first
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a)	15 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security
AULITALI 0000. 111, 2, (a)	Division/OB
EVALUATION:	DATE: 24 May 75
What is farel our reference	s) for this activity? Date(s)?
mac 15 (alo) our rollered	25X1A
	ill in force? Yes X No
Is (are) the reference(s) st Who is (are) the beneficiary	
	CI complex
·	
	THIL POCASSARY? YES X NO
Do you feel the activity is	still necessary? les / No No No No
Do (es) the beneficiary (les)	still necessary? Yes /\ No No No No No No re efficiently or less costly? Yes X No
If so, how?	
See below	
	lity for the activity should be transferred representations another Office? Yes X No 25X1A
Do you teel that responsible	r to another Office? Yes X No 25X1A
If so, where and why?	or, p. I.D.e
THANSET O TO DOT F	new GS-15 slot authorized for OCI comple
111711111111111111111111111111111111111	new GS-15 slot authorized for OCI comple e activity more efficiently? Less costly?
at all lines. also	e activity more efficiently? Less costly? No. If so, which component and why?
Can another component do th	No If so, which component and why?
More property: ies	NO
Jee abor	~ ·
Does the activity include a	nything questionable in the light of W If so, what?
Watergate? Yes No	11 30, Mac.
·	
Can this activity be reduce	ed in scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on ove	erall Agency security? Yes No_K
If so, how?	
Te there any activity not n	now being pursued by OS that you feel is more Yes No X If so, what?
important than this one?	Yes No X If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a) 13	COMPONENT:OS/PTOS/Technical Securit
EVALUATION:	Division/OB DATE:
	24 Mas 78
What is (are) our reference(s) for	r this activity? Date(s)?
	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes X No of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff If so, how?	necessary? Yes X No_ it is still necessary? Yes X No_ iciently or less costly? Yes X No_
If so, where and why?	
See III, B, (a)	15
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes X No	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
See above	
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020025-2

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020025-2

Approved For Release 2000/09/02	: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020025-2
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Off for pro of the the Age	:CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020025-2 ice of Security component responsible viding daily inspection of the offices DCI and other senior personnel of ncy.
ino rigo	
· •	COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (a) 12	Division/OB DATE:
EVALUATION:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for 25X1A	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes X No of this activity? Co-plex
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel in Can the activity be done more efficient of the so, how?	ciently or less costly.
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? Yes X No
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes X No	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anythin Watergate? Yes No_X_ If	g questionable in the light of
Can this activity be reduced in s significant effect on overall I If so, how?	scope/size or discontinued without No_XNo_X
	ing pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

Cat. B

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET							
SUBJECT: (Optional)							
_{РОМ:} 25X1A		9	EXTENSION	NO.			
Mr.				DATE			
Policy and rians Group		x5311	10 March 1975				
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom			
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)			
1. A .a. c. m. 1			In				
A Chief, Technical Security Division/OF			(0)	Under Objective B57104 the Office of Security will re-			
		1		view and validate all Office			
Clops B	6		n	of Security functions,			
Clops M				activitiesto ensure effe			
AC/TSD			11	tiveness, economy and efficiency. The attached			
1.39			10 /	documents represent two			
•			,	milestones under that object			
				tive. Milestone 1, the components identified their			
•				functions and activities.			
				Milestone 2 was establishme			
•				of a format for evaluating theseactivities. It is			
				requested that Technical			
•				Security Division review			
				the attachment and: a) Certify that there are			
				no deletions/corrections			
				additions to be made to			
				the previous listing submitted by your office			
				b) Review the Identification			
			_	of Activity statement on each evaluation sheet to			
				ensure it fully equals			
				to the comparable activi			
				from your offices listin c) Use the attached format			
				to evaluate each individ			
				activity.			
				2-1 - attached group Tayed Cat. A			
	·			SHOULD BE checked of exactle			
				car the 19th form - The sure 3			
				ActiviTies in CAT. B. Should Be			
				SHOULD BE checked off exactly car the 19th from - the seve- 3 Activities in CAT. B. Should be Theorefered (my opinion)			
				PM 25 Mon?			
	•						